STATE OF MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. 2000-280

January 9, 2001

MAINE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION Transmission and Distribution Utility Conservation Implementation Plans **ORDER**

WELCH, Chairman; NUGENT and DIAMOND, Commissioners

I. SUMMARY

In this Order, we approve the State Planning Office's recommendation that the Commission authorize the continued operation of existing energy conservation programs by Central Maine Power Company (CMP), at slightly higher budget levels, through calendar year 2001.

II. DISCUSSION AND DECISION

On December 5, 2000, the State Planning Office (SPO) submitted its recommendation to the Commission concerning the energy conservation programs to be delivered by CMP pursuant to 5 M.R.S.A. § 3305-B(2). According to SPO, it has worked with CMP and prepared a revised interim conservation plan for calendar year 2001. The plan continues current programs at budget amounts slightly higher than during the 2000 program year. Under the plan, CMP will continue to offer the Bundle-Up program, residential weatherization program through contractor SESCO, and an efficiency and incentive program to commercial and industrial customers (see attachment 1). CMP projects an available budget of \$1,847,122 for year 2001. CMP proposes to spend \$825,000 on the three programs described above. SPO is working with CMP on the possible offering of two other programs in 2001: a market transformation program managed by Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnership and a LED exit light program offered by CMP.

We will approve the CMP plan recommended by SPO with the requirement that if any of the \$1,847,122 is unspent in 2001, it will be carried over and used in 2002. We expect the plan currently under development by the SPO for 2002 will conform with the requirement in 35-A M.R.S.A. § 3211 that transmission and distribution utilities select service providers through a competitive bidding process unless it is shown to be in the best interest of the State to proceed using another method. We will not require bidding for CMP in 2001, as we continue in a transition period, until SPO adopts its conservation program as required by 5 M.R.S.A. § 3305-B(2).

¹As in 2000, we expect other T&D utilities will continue their existing programs. Any amounts up to 0.5% of total T&D revenues not spent in 2001 will be carried forward for use in 2002.

Dated at Augusta, Maine, this 9th day of January, 2001.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION

Dennis L. Keschl Administrative Director

COMMISSIONERS VOTING FOR: Welch

Nugent Diamond

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

- 5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:
 - 1. <u>Reconsideration</u> of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
 - 2. <u>Appeal of a final decision</u> of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
 - 3. <u>Additional court review</u> of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.

NOTICE OF RIGHTS TO REVIEW OR APPEAL

5 M.R.S.A. § 9061 requires the Public Utilities Commission to give each party to an adjudicatory proceeding written notice of the party's rights to review or appeal of its decision made at the conclusion of the adjudicatory proceeding. The methods of review or appeal of PUC decisions at the conclusion of an adjudicatory proceeding are as follows:

- 1. <u>Reconsideration</u> of the Commission's Order may be requested under Section 1004 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (65-407 C.M.R.110) within 20 days of the date of the Order by filing a petition with the Commission stating the grounds upon which reconsideration is sought.
- 2. <u>Appeal of a final decision</u> of the Commission may be taken to the Law Court by filing, within 30 days of the date of the Order, a Notice of Appeal with the Administrative Director of the Commission, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(1)-(4) and the Maine Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 73, et seq.
- 3. <u>Additional court review</u> of constitutional issues or issues involving the justness or reasonableness of rates may be had by the filing of an appeal with the Law Court, pursuant to 35-A M.R.S.A. § 1320(5).

Note: The attachment of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the particular document may be subject to review or appeal. Similarly, the failure of the Commission to attach a copy of this Notice to a document does not indicate the Commission's view that the document is not subject to review or appeal.